EFFECT OF DISPLACEMENT ON HEALTH STATUS OF HOUSEHOLDS IN ZAMFARA STATE, NIGERIA

JORJI AKUDO NWOGU*

Department of Economics, School of Arts and Social Sciences Federal Collage of Education (Technical) Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria dajorji@gmail.com, 08038967778

JOSEPH M. IBBIH

Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Science Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria <u>ibbihjosephm@nsuk.edu.ng</u>, 08036782891

FRANCIS AUDU AKAWU

Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Science Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria <u>akawuaudu@nsuk.edu.ng</u> 08033492820 akawus2012@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The study investigated the effect of displacement on health status of households in Zamfara State, Nigeria. The study employed a cross sectional survey research design using primary data collected from the field with the aid of structured questionnaire. The population of selected LGAs from the senatorial zones covered in the study recorded higher incidences of displacement in Zamfara state of about 2,393,300 (two million, three hundred and ninety three thousand and three hundred). With a sample size of four hundred (400). The logistic regression model was employed with the aid of E-view software for estimation of data. The study revealed that both environmental displacement, migration displacement, Economic displacement, development displacement negatively affect household health status in Zamfara State Nigeria during the period of the study. The study recommends that government should improve on early warning systems, invest in resilient infrastructure, provide adequate support and ensure access to healthcare services for displaced populations.

Keyword: Displacement, Environmental Displacement, Migration Displacement, Economic Displacement, Development Displacement, Health Status, Households.

JEL Classification: I12, I14, I18, D74, H31

1. INTRODUCTION

Displacement is a global phenomenon that affects millions of individuals and communities worldwide. The forced uprooting of people from their homes due to conflicts, natural disasters, or other factors has significant implications on their health and well-being. Insecurity can lead to fear, preventing individuals from engaging in food production activities because of the fear of being attacked or kidnapped. This has affected sources of income and the sense of well-being among people affected. Ahmed,, Gyong & Isyaku (2024). According to the United Nations High commissioner for refugees (UNCHR), (2021), the number of forcibly displaced individuals worldwide reached a record high of 82.4 million by end of 2020. This includes 26.4 million refugees, 48 million internally displaced persons (IDPs), and 4.1 million asylum seekers. The health status of displaced populations is a critical concern due to the numerous challenges they face, including inadequate access to healthcare, substandard living conditions, and increased vulnerability to disease outbreaks. According to WHO (2022), displaced populations experience mortality rates that are 1.5 to 3 times higher than the general population. Displaced children are 5 times more likely to die from preventable diseases compared to non-displaced children. Terrorism though not new in Nigeria history, but has

become the popular vehicle for instilling fear and conducting violence against the citizens in the country. In recent times, Nigeria is experiencing a new wave of violence which is terrorism conducted in different forms, means and places. Ugwuoke (2022). Zamfara State is in the north-western region of Nigeria and was home to more than 784,000 IDPs as of August 2022, 87 per cent of whom had been displaced by banditry attacks on several villages in the state, linked with ongoing criminal and communal violence, illegal mining, and environmental pollution.

The internally displaced persons face a lot of hardship and health issues due to the displacement. (Ohida, Dabin, Ajiboye, et al (2023). Many of those fleeing violence in the state settle in the state capital of Gusau, which hosted about 12,000 IDPs as of August 2022, (Internal displacement IDMC, 2022). The menace of banditry has become a serious threat to the people of the north western part of Nigeria, with Zamfara State experiencing the incidence daily. Zamfara State has recorded so many incidences of banditry. Gangs of bandits taking cover in the forest around the north western part, most especially the forest in Zamfara State, have also been terrorising the people of Dansadau, Maru, Zurmi, and Gusau, to mention but a few (ACLED, 2022). The bandits rob travellers, raid villages, and kidnap people. After kidnapping their relations, they also demand huge sums of money as ransom. It has been described as an act that resulted from the failure of leaders to curb the menaces of social and economic upheavals (Mohammed&Ibrahim,2015). It has also been conceived as a criminal act of taking cows for economic purposes (Okoli & Okpaleke (2014). On 28 May, 2023 armed bandits reportedly attacked the communities of Gidan Goga in Gidan Goga ward of Maradun LGA and Yar-Nasarawa in Dansadau ward of Maru LGA in Zamfara State. The attacks affected 1,027 individuals and displaced 620 individuals from the community Gidan Goga to the community of Ungwar Sarki in Gidan Goga ward and 369 individuals from the community of Yar-Nasarawa to Bayan Kasuwa in Dansadau ward. As a result of the attacks, 38 fatalities and 15 injuries were reported (IOM, 2023). The overlapping impacts of conflict, violence and disasters continue to worsen Zamfara state displacement crisis. The state faces challenges in providing adequate healthcare services, with a doctor-to-patient ratio of 1:6,000, well below the recommended WHO standard of 1:600. Displaced populations are at increased risk of waterborne diseases. In Zamfara State, outbreaks of cholera have been reported in camps and host communities. Mental health disorders, such as depression and anxiety affect a significant proportion of displaced individuals, natural disasters has an emotional impact on those who are affected. Losses of lives, homes, property, and means of subsistence frequently result in despair and an overwhelming sense of hopelessness. Natural disasters such as the floods that have afflicted most of Nigeria, either directly or indirectly, cause depression, frustration, and wrath Ezenwajiobi (2024). The most disturbing trends here are that, in spite of the various governments' strategies, measures and intervention to curb this menace, the horror seems ceaseless and of course, the numbers of the displaced persons continue to multiply by day. Attempts at mitigating the problem became more cumbersome for the state, the communities affected and the country at large. This has generated serious concerns from government as well as both local and international agencies due to the fact that most of the communities in Zamfara State have been ravaged by the nefarious activities of the Armed Banditry, cattle rustlers, and illegal gold mining, which negatively had impact on household health status, (Momale, 2015). It is against this background that this study was design to empirically examined the effect of displacement on health status of household in Zamfara state, Nigeria.

The remaining Sections is organized thus, section two represent the Literature Review. It contains Conceptual review, Theoretical review, Empirical Review and Gaps in the Literature. Section three is concerned with the Methodology. Section four contains, Data Presentation, analysis and discussion of findings. Section five contains Summary, Conclusion, and Recommendations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1Theoretical Review

The Grossman Model is a model for studying the demand for health and medical care outlined by Michael Grossman in a monograph in 1972 entitled: The demand for health: A Theoretical and empirical investigation. The model-based demand for medical care on the interaction between a demand function for health and a production function for health. In the model, health enters the utility function directly as a good people derive pleasure from and indirectly as an investment, which makes more healthy time available for market and non-market activities (Jones, Rice, & Paul, 2012). The model creates a dynamic system of equations, which can be cast as an optimization problem where utility is optimised over gross investment in health in each period. In this way, the length of life of the agent is partially endogenous to the model (Jones et. al., 2012). The theory was criticise on the ground of oversimplification because the theory assumes health is a single, homogeneous good (Muurinen, 1982). The theory ignores externalities: Fails to account for societal benefits of health investments (Culyer, 1971).

Attribution theory finds its roots in the concept of "naive psychology" developed by Heider (1958), the objective of which is to understand how lay persons determine the causes of specific events.

Attribution the orists investigate the perception of causality, or the judgment of why a particular incident occurred. The allocation of responsibility manifestly guides subsequent behaviour Weiner (1972). Heider (1958) argued that people try to identify the dispositional properties that underlie observed behaviour and do so by attributing behaviour either to external (situational) causes and internal (dispositional) causes.

The theory was criticise because of oversimplification and fails to account for complex cognitive processes (Nisbett & Ross, 1980). Cultural limitations: Ignores cultural differences in attribution styles (Miller, 1984). Lack of empirical support: Mixed results in studies (Fiske & Taylor, 1991). Difficulty in measurement: Challenges in quantifying attributions (Weary et al., 2010). Limited scope: Fails to account for situational factors (Malle, 2004).

The Neoclassical Theory was first propounded by Rostow (1960) and further extended by Todaro (1969) and Harris and Todaro (1970) elaborated the basic two-sector model of rural-to-urban labour migration. This influential ''Harris-Todaro model' has remained the basis of neo-classical migration theory since then. The Neoclassical Theory explains the impact of labour migration on economic development (Lewis, 1954, Arango, 2000, Todaro, 1976). According to the theory, the main cause for migration is the geographical imbalance between demand and supply of labour and some time the imbalance between social factors such the environmental and economic displacement. The supply of labour is elastic, but the labour paid low wages and their marginal productivity is low. Therefore, the workers tend to migrate to a high wage country. Because of this trend, higher wages has become a powerful incentive for labour-sending countries to encourage out-migration.

The theory was criticise because of oversimplification and fails to account for complex migration dynamics (Massey et al., 1993). There is difficulty in measurement and challenges in quantifying migration decisions (Grogger & Hanson, 2011). The theory has limited scope and fails to account for non-economic factors (Constant & Massey, 2003).

2.2 Empirical Review

Libuy & Moreno-Serra (2023) examined the causal effects of forced displacement on health outcomes. The study undertake a scoping review of applied epidemiological, statistical and econometric studies examining causal health impacts of forced displacement, which initially identified 1454 studies from the health and social sciences disciplines published up to May 2021. The study makes two key contributions. First, it offer a comprehensive overview of the evidence generated, methodologies adopted and analytical challenges faced by current research examining the causal relationship between forced displacement and health. Second, it present concrete examples of how key challenges around study design and estimation approaches influence the strength of the evidence-base on the topic, using as a case study the broad domain of reproductive health. it find that, beyond the increased mortality risk that can be attributed to forced displacement, most of the available empirical evidence for a wide range of health

outcomes is prone to substantial bias, making it difficult to draw firm conclusions. The findings are useful to promote the generation of further evidence on the topic that can reliably inform the design of policies to protect the health of displaced populations.

Chowdhury (2020) examined the effect of climate displacement on health of Khulna district in Bangladesh. The study employed questionnaire to generate primary data and used judgmental samples drawn from the population of the study. The study used Chisquare to draw the significance of the study which revealed that the primary reason for migration were riverbank erosion and cyclones, and the new settlements affected social relationships and also the changing climatic condition on respondents health varied between previous and the present locations.

Sadibo, (2020) examined the impact of internally displaced persons on the Nigerian economy with focus on the north eastern region where the issue of internal dislodgment of people from their homes or base is predominant and call for concern. The research work employ the use of secondary data covering the period between 2015 to 2018 that was extracted from the central bank of Nigeria's statistical bulletin and International Organisation for Migration (IOM)'s monthly displacement tracking matrix and emergency tracking tool reports. The study makes use of both descriptive and econometric technique of analysis. The study concluded that internal displacement of persons linked to Boko-haram insurgency and Fulani herdsmen/farmers clashes affects the growth of the Nigerian economy because of the negative effect on foreign direct investment growth rate as it tends to discourage foreign investors from investing as a result of insecurity.

Duncker (2018) examined the impact of conflict and displacement on the health of the Syrian population in Syria, Turkey, Lebanon and Greece. The study focuses on the direct and indirect impacts of conflict and displacement on the Syrian population, looking at the health determinants, and factors impacting health, inside Syria, Turkey, Lebanon and Greece. An adapted framework, based on the conceptual framework of Guha-Sapiron the impact of armed conflict on health was used to assess the impact of displacement on the health of the Syrian population. The data from the different countries illustrates the difficult situation that the displaced Syrian population is in. Lack of adequate shelter, food shortages and low income, together with difficulties in access to health care are impacting on the health of the Syrian population. The results in higher levels of non-communicable diseases, infectious diseases and lower immunisation coverage, attributed by overstretched health care centres due to the refugee influx.

Few studies have investigated the effects of displacement on health status in Nigeria, and in different part of the world with diverse techniques and opinions. The outcomes of the investigations however, have shown that, displacement have both positive and negative significant relationship with health status variables.

It is important to note that not only did these studies yield different results and conclusions, perhaps due to diverse methodologies adopted in carrying out the analysis of the research data, but also more importantly, the location considered in many of them was rather small. Besides, none of the empirical studies reviewed was done in Zamfara state and used other components of displacement such as economic displacement as explanatory variable in their models. It can also be observed that none of the study employed the logistic regression model in their study. These observed shortcomings have created a knowledge gap in the literature.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1Theoretical Framework

The study is anchored on the attribution theory by Heider (1957) as its basic theoretical connection. The central thesis of the attribution theory is that people tend to attribute behaviour either to internal factors, such as personal characteristics or dispositions, or to external factors, such as situational or environmental influences. Displacement in the context of attribution theory refers to the tendency of people to attribute behaviour to internal causes, even when there may be obvious external factors influencing the behaviour.

Heider's Arbitration Theory helps to explain the effect of displacement on health status by highlighting how displaced individuals attribute causes to their situation, influencing their cognitive and emotional responses. Displaced persons may attribute their circumstances to internal (e.g., personal failure) or external factors (e.g., conflict, environmental degradation). This attribution process affects their perception of control, self-esteem, and motivation, ultimately impacting mental and physical health.

3.2 Model Specification

This study adapts the work of Bums, Wickramage, Musah, Siriwardhana and Checchi (2018) on impact of conflict-driven displacement on health status in Northern Sri Lanka. The model is stated in functional form as:

HLT=f(RRE,FOD,IDP,HCT)(3.2)

The linear form of the model is therefore express as follows:

 $HLT = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RRE + \beta_2 FOD + \beta_3 IDP + \beta_4 HCT + U_t. \tag{3.3}$

Where:

HLT = Health Status

RRE = Returning Refugees

FOD = Forced Displacement

IDP = Internally Displaced Persons

HCT = **Host Communities**

The model was adjusted to allow for the inclusion of the study variables. Thus, the equation (3.3) was modified, specified in functional and linear forms:

HLT= f(END, MID, ECD, DED)

(3.4)

Equation (3.4) is expressed in econometric form as below:

 $HLT = \beta_0 + \beta_1 END + \beta_2 MID + \beta_3 ECD + \beta_4 DED + u$

(3.5)

Where:

HLT = Health Status

END = Environmental Displacement

MID = Migration Displacement

ECD = Economic Displacement

DED = Development Displacement

 μ = Stochastic Term

 β_0 = Constant term

 β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , β_4 = Parameters

The model a priori expectations are that each of the parameters is expected to be negative that is, β_1 , β_2 , β_3 , β_4 <0.

Research Design

The study adopts a survey research design. The use of this design is due to the large number of households in the selected Local Government Areas in Zamfara State which could be too cumbersome to investigate, and also as a result of lack of existing data.

Nature and Sources of Data

The study employed primary data to achieve its objectives. Which was obtained through questionnaires distributed to individual's household in Zamfara State.

Sample and Size Sampling Technique

The sample size calculated by using Yamane (1967) formula given as:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}$$

Where: n=sample size;

N=Population size; and

e=the error of 5 percent

Using this formula the population size for this study is:

$$n = \frac{2,393,300}{1 + 2,393,300 (0.05)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{2,393,300}{1 + 2,393,300 (0.0025)}$$

$$= \frac{2,393,300}{1 + 5,983.25}$$

$$= \frac{2,393,300}{2,393,300}$$

$$= 5,984.25$$

$$= 399.9331578727$$

$$= 400$$

Table 1 Distribution of Population between the Selected Areas

Districts		Population	Sample size
	Bungudu	460,200	$460,200 \times 400 = 77$
Zamfara Central			2,393,300
	Gusau	682,700	$682,700 \times 400 = 114$
			2,393,300
	Shinkafi	241,900	$241,900 \times 400 = 41$
Zamfara North			2,393,300
	Talata Mafara	383,700	$383,700 \times 400 = 64$
			2,393,300
	Anka	255,500	$255,500 \times 400 = 43$
Zamfara West			2,393,300
	Maradun	369,300	$369,300 \times 400 = 61$
			2,393,300
Total		2,393,300	400

Sources: National Population Commission of Nigeria (2022), National Bureau of Statistics

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS Table 2

Response Rate of Return Questionnaires Senatorial Districts LGAs Total

Senatorial Districts	LGAs	Total	Total Return	Return	Response
		Administered		Rate %	
Zamfara Central	Bungudu	77	69	17	
	Gusau	114	92	23	
Zamfara North	Shinkafi	41	36	9	
	Talata	64	57	14	
	Mafara				
Zamfara West	Anka	43	35	9	
	Maradun	61	55	13	
Total			343	85	
Gender of Respondent					
Male Female	Frequency	Percent	Valid percent		
Total	208	60.6	60.6		
Total	135	39.4	39.4		

343	100	10	00
Age of the Respondents			
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Below 20	45	13.1	13.1
21 to 30 years	92	26.8	26.8
31 to 40 years	115	33.5	33.5
41 to 50 years	69	20.1	20.1
51 and above	22	6.4	6.4
Total	343	100.0	100.0
Educational Level of the Resp	ondents		
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
SSCE	91	26.5	26.5
OND/NCE	92	26.8	26.8
Bsc/HND	69	20.1	20.1
Postgraduate	45	13.1	13.1
Other	46	13.4	13.4
Total	343	100.0	100.0
Occupation of the Respondent	ts		
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Civil servant	67	19.5	19.5
Private employee	45	13.1	13.1
Self employed	92	26.8	26.8
Farmer	68	19.8	19.8
Herder	23	6.7	6.7
Other	48	14	14
Total	343	100.0	100.0

Table 3
Impact of Environmental Displacement on Health Status (END)

S/N	Statement	SA%	A%	SD%	D%
END1	To what extent do you believe that environmental displacement, such as flooding or erosion, has impacted the health status of households in Zamfara state	46.1	26.8	16.9	10.0
END2	How much do you think environmental displacement has contributed to the increase in health problems among households in Zamfara state	38.8	44.3	11.1	5.8
END3	In your opinion, has environmental displacement led to a decrease in access to healthcare services for households in Zamfara state	35.9	45.5	9.3	9.3
END4	Do you believe that the living conditions in temporary shelters or relocation sites due to environmental displacement have had a direct impact on the health status of affected households in Zamfara state	49.3	30.0	9.6	11.1
END5	How do you perceived the level of readiness and effectiveness of response efforts in providing healthcare services to households affected by environmental displacement in Zamfara state	20.4	26.8	26.2	26.5

Source: field survey, 2024 computed with SPSS 24

Table 4 Impact of Migration Displacement on Health Status (MID)

S/N	Statement	SA%	A%	SD%	D%
MID1	To what extent do you believe that migration	46.7	26.5	16.3	8.5
	displacement, such as internal or external migration,				
	has impacted the health status of households in				
	Zamfara state				
MID2	How much do you think migration displacement has	37.0	46.1	11.1	5.8
	contributed to the increase in health problems among				
	households in Zamfara state				
MID3	In your opinion, has migration displacement led to a	35.9	45.5	9.3	9.3
	decrease in access to healthcare services for				
	households in Zamfara state				
MID4	Do you believe that the living conditions in new	49.9	29.7	9.3	11.1
	locations or settlements due to migration displacement				
	have had a direct impact on the health status of				
	affected households in Zamfara state				
MID5	How would you rate the availability of essential	21.9	29.2	24.2	24.8
	healthcare resources, such as medical facilities and				
	personnel, in areas where households affected by				
	migration displacement have resettled in Zamfara				
	state				

Source: field survey, 2024 computed with SPSS 24

Table 5
Impact of Economic Displacement on Health Status (ECD)

S/N	Statement	SA%	A%	SD%	D%
ECD1	To what extent do you believe that economic	82.2	13.7	2.6	1.5
	displacement, such as job loss or economic hardship,				
	has impacted the health status of households in				
	Zamfara state				
ECD2	How much do you think economic displacement has	47.5	29.2	15.5	7.9
	contributed to the increase in health problems among				
	households in Zamfara state				
ECD3	In your opinion, has economic displacement led to a	37.3	45.5	10.8	6.4
	decrease in access to healthcare services for				
	households in Zamfara state				
ECD4	Do you believe that the financial strain resulting from	38.5	42.6	9.3	9.6
	economic displacement has had a direct impact on the				
	health status of affect households in Zamfara state				
ECD5	How would you rate the ability of households affected	40.8	34.1	11.7	13.4
	by economic displacement in Zamfara state to afford				
	essential healthcare services and medications				

Source: field survey, 2024 computed with SPSS 24

Table 6
Impact of Development Displacement on Health Status (DED)

S/N	Statement	SA%	A%	SD%	D%
DED1	To what extent do you believe that development	59.5	23.9	13.7	2.8
	displacement, such as land acquisition for				
	infrastructure projects, has impacted health status such				
	mental health of households in Zamfara state				
DED2	How much do you think development displacement	46.1	36.4	11.7	5.8
	has contributed to the increase in health problems such				
	post-traumatic stress disorder among households				
	affected in Zamfara state				
DED3	In your opinion, has development displacement led to	39.1	46.6	7.6	6.7
	a decrease in access to healthcare services for				
	households in Zamfara state				
DED4	Do you believe that the changes in living conditions	47.8	34.4	7.3	10.5
	due to development displacement have had a direct				
	impact on the health status of affected households in				
	Zamfara state				
DED5	How would you rate the support provided to	26.5	35.9	19.2	18.4
	households affected by development displacement in				
	accessing necessary healthcare resources in Zamfara				
	state				

Source: field survey, 2024 computed with SPSS 24

This table revealed that all the variables have distributions that are positively skewed in nominal values (having positive signs) this indicates that the distribution is right-skewed. All the variables are having positive maximum and minimum values.

Table 7

Tests of Normality							
	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk			
	Statistic	Df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.	
Health Status	.433	343	0.065	0.626	304	0.076	
Environmental Displacement	.336	343	0.078	0.745	304	0.089	
Migration Displacement	.425	343	0.125	0.595	304	0.082	
Economic Displacement	.244	343	0.372	0.789	304	0.021	
Development Displacement	.455	343	0.012	0.877	304	0.332	

Table 8

	Validity Test Result					
	Health Status	Environmental Displacement	Migration Displacement	Economic Displacement	Development Displacement	
Health Status	1	082	515**	573**	330**	
	304	.154 304	.000 304	.000 304	.000 304	

Displacement .154	.001	.891	.230
304 304	304	304	304
Migration515**183**	1	470**	.086
Displacement .000 .001		.000	.133
304 304	304	304	304
Economic573** .008	470**	1	.171**
Displacement .000 .891	.000		.003
304 304	304	304	304
Development330** .069	.086	.171**	1
Displacement .000 .230	.133	.003	
304 304	304	304	304

Source: field survey, 2024 computed with SPSS 24

 Table 9
 Descriptive Statistics Test result

-	HLT	END	MID	ECD	DED
Mean	7.253289	4.873684	4.907895	4.846053	4.940789
Median	7.000000	4.400000	5.200000	4.400000	4.400000
Maximum	9.000000	6.400000	5.400000	7.200000	6.400000
Minimum	6.000000	4.400000	4.200000	4.200000	4.200000
Std. Dev.	0.786639	0.667465	0.505746	0.860569	0.724430
Skewness	0.255262	1.147524	-0.394050	1.492654	0.822547
Kurtosis	2.693611	3.034844	1.307361	4.262631	2.491178
Jarque-Bera	4.490445	66.73381	44.15763	133.0799	37.55960
Probability	0.105904	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Sum	2205.000	1481.600	1492.000	1473.200	1502.000
Sum Sq. Dev.	187.4967	134.9895	77.50105	224.3953	159.0142
Observations	343	343	343	343	343

Source: field survey, 2024 computed with E-views 12.0

The findings revealed that Environmental displacement has negative and statistically insignificant effect on health status in Zamfara state, Nigeria. The result implies that household health status decline as environmental displacement rise conversely. A unit change in environmental displacement lead to a decrease of household health status in Zamfara by 6.9 units. The findings are in agreement with Chowdhury (2020), Islam and Hossain (2020) who found environmental displacement to have a negative effect on health status.

The findings revealed that Migration displacement has negative and statistically significant effect on health status in Zamfara state, Nigeria. The result implies that household health status decline as migration displacement rises conversely. A unit change in migration displacement lead to a decrease of household health status in Zamfara by 90 units. The findings are in agreement with Patricia, Bowen, and McMichael (2018), Okoro, Paul, and Mmahi (2016) who found that migration displacement has a negative effect on health status.

The findings revealed that Economic displacement has negative and statistically significant effect on health status in Zamfara state, Nigeria. The result implies that household health status decline as economic displacement rises conversely. A unit change in economic displacement lead to a decrease of household health status in Zamfara by 64 units. The findings are in agreement with IDMC (2019), Islam and Hossain (2020), Saad, Yaseen, Mustafa, and Badeaa (2019), Shir Haver (2015) who found that economic displacement has a negative effect on health status.

The findings revealed that Development displacement has negative and statistically significant effect on health status in Zamfara state, Nigeria. The result implies that household

health status declined as development displacement rises. A unit change in development displacement lead to a decrease of household health status in Zamfara by 45 units. The findings are in agreement with Oyefara and Alabi (2016) who found that development displacement has a negative effect on health status.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study investigated the effect of displacement on health status of households in Zamfara state, Nigeria. Considering the result of the reliability test, descriptive statistic, normality test, validity test results and the logistic regression model results, the study's findings conclusively demonstrate that displacement has a detrimental impact on household health status in Zamfara state, Nigeria.

Based on the empirical results of the study, the following recommendations are put forward: The study revealed that Environmental displacement, Migration displacement, Economic displacement, Developmental displacement negatively affects the household health status in Zamfara state. Hence the study recommends that government should improve early warning systems report with prompt responses, invest in resilient infrastructure, provide adequate support for displaced populations, strengthen both intra and international cooperation, provide social and economic integration support and ensure access to healthcare services for displaced populations.

Based on the empirical results of the study, the following recommendations are put forward:

- i. The study recommends that the Zamfara State and Federal Ministry of Humanitarian Affairs, Disaster Management and Social Development, should improve on early warning systems report of any natural disasters, with immediate emergency response. Also, the legislative arm of government should implement laws on illegal mining activities, sensitize the people on dangers of illegal mining to avoid disasters leading to habitants health destruction.
- ii. Both Federal and Zamfara Government should address root causes of migration displacement. The government through its agencies like the Institute for peace and conflict resolution should promote peace building and conflict resolution, improve local resilience and foster cooperation among residents. The federal and state ministry of health should ensure access to healthcare services for displaced populations.
- iii. The study revealed that Economic displacement has a negative effect on household health status in Zamfara state, the study therefore recommends that government through the national and state directorate of employment, ministry of labour and employment and other agencies should address the unemployment crisis in Zamfara state, create job opportunities and strengthen local areas to reduce the economic push factors driving displacement.
- iv. The study revealed that development displacement has negative effect on household health status in Zamfara state, the study recommends that government should keep affected communities informed about project timelines, impacts. Provide financial aid to displaced individuals and families for moving expenses, monitor the effect of developmental projects on residents and adjust policies as needed.

REFERENCES

- Arango,J.(2000). "Explaining migration: a critical view", *International social science journal*, 52(165), 283-296.
- Bums, Wickramage, Musah, Siriwardhana & Checchi (2018). Health status of returning refugees, internally displaced persons, and the host community in a post-conflict district in northern Sri Lanka across-sectional survey. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13031-018-0176-7
- Chowdhury, M. A., Hasan, M. R., & Younos, T.B., (2020). Climate change exploratory study on coastal areas of Bangladesh . Heliyon 6(9), 1-15.
- Culyer, A. J. (1971). The nature of the commodity 'health'. *Social Science & Medicine*, 5(3), 305-313.

- Ezenwajiobi, C. C. (2024). An analysis of the role of the state in natural disaster management in Nigeria: a study of kogi state flooding. Journal of economics and alliedresearch, 9(2),92–101. Retrieved from https://jearecons.com/index.php/jearecons/article/view/404
- Grossman, M. (1972). On the concept of Health and the Demand for Health. *The journal of Political Economy*, 80(2), 223-255.
- Hanson, K.T. (2004). Rethinking the Akan household Acknowledging the importance of culturally and linguistically meaningful images. *AfricaToday*, 51(1), 27-45.
- Heider, F.(1958). The psychology of interpersonal relationships ,John Wiley &Sons, Inc., New York.
- IDMC(2022)." Global Report on Internal Displacement (GRID).".Retrievedfrom www.internal-displacement.org/.../nigeria /figures-analysis on20/05/16.
- IDMC.2019). The Ripple Effect : economic impact so finternal displacement. Conceptual Framework.
- International Organisation for Migration. (2015). *Glossary of Migration*. Geneva: International Organisation for Migration.
- International Organisation for Migration. (2021). World Migration Report 2020. Geneva. International Organisation for Migration.
- Islam, M.F., & Hossain, M. M.(2020). Survival strategies of the displaced people due to river bank erosion a study of victims living on the Bank of Padma River, Bangladesh In Madden, J.R et al. (Eds.), Environmental Economics and Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. Springer Nature, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3970-1 18.
- Jones, A. M., Rice, N., & Paul, C. (2012). The Dynamics of Health in Jones, Andrew M., (ed). The Elgar companion to health economics. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2012. P15.
- Kaiser, Z. A. (2023). The analysis of the livelihoods and health of internally displaced person due to riverbank erosion in Bangladesh. *American Journal of Geographical Research and Reviews*, 2(13),1-9.
- Lewis, W.A., (1954). Economic development with unlimited supplies of labour. *The Manchester School*, 22(2), 139-191. Available at : https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9957.1954.tb00021.x.
- Libuy, A. F. & Moreno-Serra, P. (2023). The causal effects of forced displacement on health outcomes. *European Scientific Journal*, 10(4),350–362.
- Massey, D. S. (1993). "Social structure, household strategies, and the cumulative causation of migration". *Population index*, *56*(1), 3-26.
- Massey, D. S., Arango, J., Hugo, G., Kouaouci, A., Pellegrino, A., & Taylor, J. E. (1993). Theories of international migration: A review and appraisal. *Population and Development Review*, 19(3), 431-466.
- Massey,D.S.,Malone,L.,&Durand,J.(2005)."Continuities intrans national migration: Ananalysis of nineteen communities",*AmericanjournalofSociology*,99(6),1492-1533.
- Matías Libuy, Rodrigo & Moreno-Serra (2023). What is the impact of forced displacement on health? As coping review: HealthPolicyandPlanning,38, 2023, 394–408DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czad002.
- Miller, J. G. (1984). Culture and the development of everyday social explanation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46(5), 961-978.
- Mohammed, J.K., & Ibrahim, J. (Eds.). (2015). Rural banditry and conflicts in Northern Nigeria, Abuja: Centre for Democracy and Development
- Momale, S. B. (2015). Changing methods of Animal Husbandry, Cattle rustling and rural banditry in Nigeria. In Kuna M. J. and Ibrahim, J. (eds.). Rural Banditry and Conflict in Northern Nigeria. A Publication of Center for Democracy and Development.
- Muurinen, J. M. (1982). Demand for health: A review of the literature. *Social Science & Medicine*, 16(10), 1363-1374.

- Nisbett, R. E., & Ross, L. (1980). Human inference: Strategies and shortcomings of social judgment. Prentice-Hall.
- Ohida, M. E., Dabin, k. B., Ajiboye, A. O., Abubakar, I. D., Garba, H. A., & Yusuf, N. B. (2023). Effectiveness of humanitarian logistics of relief material at internal displaced persons camp in Abuja, Nigeria . *Journal of economics and allied research*, 8(1), 13–27. Retrieved from https://jearecons.com/index.php/jearecons/article/view/272
- Okoli, A.C. & Okpaleke, F.N. (2014). Banditry and crisis of publics afety in Nigeria: Issues innational security strategies, *European Scientific Journal*, 10(4), 350–362.
- Okoro Paul Mmahi (2016). Impact of internal displacement on women and children in Nigeria: *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies (IJIRAS)*, 3(8).
- Oyefara& Alabi (2016). Socio-economic Consequences of Development-induced Internal Displacement and the Coping Strategies of Female Victims in Lagos Nigeria Anethnodemo graphic Study: Journal of African Population Studies. 30(2). 13-28.
- Patricia Schwerdtle, Kathryn Bowen, & Celia McMichael (2018). Health impacts of climate related migration displacement in Colombia. Bio Med Central.
- Saad, Yaseen, Mustafa, &Badeaa (2019). The impact of displacement on the social, economic and health situation on a sample of internally displaced families in Anbar Province, Iraq: *AliJadooetal.,Journal of Ideas in Health 2019;2(1):56-59*
- Sadibo O.V (2020). Effect of Internally Displaced Persons on the Nigerian Economy: International Journal of Advanced Studies in Economics and Public Sector Management | IJASEPSMp-ISSN:2354-421X/e-ISSN:2354-4228
- Shir Haver, (2015). Analysis of the Economic damage cause to Palestinian Households because of Displacement by Israel Authorities. Norwegian Refugee council. http://www.ochaopt.org/documents/ocha_opt_communities_jerusalem_factsheet_june_2015_english.pdf, accessed August 2023.
- Todaro, M(1969). A Model of Labour Migration and Urban Unemployment in Less-developed Countries. *The American Economic Review* 59:138-148.
- Todaro, M., P. & Smith, S. C. (1969). A model of labour migration and urban unemployment in less developed countries. *The American Economic Review*, 59(1), 138-148.
- Ugwuoke, c. O. (2022). Socioeconomic effects of terrorism and insecurity in northern Nigeria . *Journal of economics and allied research*, 7(4), 225–236. Retrieved from https://jearecons.com/index.php/jearecons/article/view/269
- UNHCR, (2020). Displacement and disillusion insouth-eastMyanmarhttps://www.internal-displacement.org/research-areas/economic-impacts-of-displacement